











PennState Institute for Com and Data Science	Center for Artific putational Artificial Intellige	cial Intelligence Foundations ence Research Laboratory	& Scientific Applications	PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute			
Classifie	Classifier Learning Measuring Performance						
Class	C_1	$\neg C_1$					
Label							
C_1	TP= 55	FP=5					
$\neg C_1$	FN=10	TN=30					
	N = TP + FN + TN + FP = 100						
	$sensitivity_1 = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} = \frac{55}{55 + 10} = \frac{55}{65}$						
	$specificity_1 = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} = \frac{55}{55 + 5} = \frac{55}{60}$						
	$accuracy_1 = \frac{TP + TN}{N} = \frac{55 + 30}{100} = \frac{85}{100}$						
	$falsealarm_1 = \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{FP}{TN + FP} = \frac{5}{30 + 5} = \frac{5}{35}$					
PennState College of Information Sciences And Technology		Fall 2022		Vasant G Honavar			





PennState Institute for Com and Data Science	Center for Artific aputational Artificial Intellige	cial Intelligence Foundations ence Research Laboratory	& Scientific Applications	PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute		
Classifie	Classifier Learning Measuring Performance					
Class	C_1	$\neg C_1$				
Label						
C_1	TP= 55	FP=5				
$\neg C_1$	FN=10	TN=30				
	$N = TP + FN + sensitivity_1 = -\frac{1}{T}$ $specificity_1 = -\frac{1}{T}$ $accuracy_1 = -\frac{TP}{T}$ $falsealarm_1 = -\frac{1}{T}$	$TN + FP = 100$ $\frac{TP}{P + FN} = \frac{55}{55 + 10} = \frac{55}{65}$ $\frac{TP}{P + FP} = \frac{55}{55 + 5} = \frac{55}{60}$ $\frac{P + TN}{N} = \frac{55 + 30}{100} = \frac{85}{100}$ $\frac{FP}{TN + FP} = \frac{5}{30 + 5} = \frac{5}{35}$				
PennState College of Information Sciences And Technology		Fall 2022		Vasant G Honavar		





PennState Institute for Comp and Data Science	putational Artificial Intellige	cial Intelligence Foundations ence Research Laboratory	s & Scientific Applications PennState Clinical and Trans Science Institute	lational		
Classifie	Classifier Learning Measuring Performance					
Class Label	\rightarrow C_1	$\neg C_1$				
<i>C</i> ₁	TP= 55	FP=5				
$\neg C_1$	FN=10	TN=30				
	$N = TP + FN +$ $sensitivity_{1} = \frac{1}{T}$ $specificity_{1} = \frac{1}{T}$ $accuracy_{1} = \frac{TP}{T}$ $falsealarm_{1} = \frac{1}{T}$	$TN + FP = 100$ $\frac{TP}{P + FN} = \frac{55}{55 + 10} = \frac{55}{65}$ $\frac{TP}{P + FP} = \frac{55}{55 + 5} = \frac{55}{60}$ $\frac{P + TN}{N} = \frac{55 + 30}{100} = \frac{85}{100}$ $\frac{FP}{TN + FP} = \frac{5}{30 + 5} = \frac{5}{35}$				
College of Information College of Information Sciences And Technology		Fall 2022	Vasant G Ho	onavar		













PennState Institute for Computationa and Data Sciences	Center for Artif	ficial Intelligence Fou gence Research Labo	ndations & Scient pratory	ific Applications	PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute
Cut	off Table	5			
	Actual Class	Prob. of "1"	Actual Class	Prob. of "1"	
	1	0.996	1	0.506	
	1	0.988	0	0.471	
	1	0.984	0	0.337	
	1	0.980	1	0.218	
	1	0.948	0	0.199	
	1	0.889	0	0.149	
	1	0.848	0	0.048	
	0	0.762	0	0.038	
	1	0.707	0	0.025	
	1	0.681	0	0.022	
	1	0.656	0	0.016	
	0	0.622	0	0.004	_
 If cutoff is 0.50: 12 samples are classified as "1" 					
 If cutoff 	 If cutoff is 0.80: seven samples are classified as "1" 				
PennState College of Information Sciences Must Technology		Fall 2022			Vasant G Honavar





















Pe ins and	PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences Confusion Matrix					
		Predict as 1	Predict as 0			
	Actual 1	8	2			
	Actual 0	20	970			
Error rate = (2+20) = 2.2% (higher than naïve rate)						
Penns College of In Sciences An	State domadon O Technology	Fall 2022		Vasant G Honavar		



PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences						
	Predict as 1	Predict as 0				
Actual 1	\$80	0				
Actual 0	-20	0				
23 PennSiate						








PennState Institute for Computation and Data Sciences	Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory	Ø	PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute
Classif	fier evaluation		
Data Labe	21		
Pret kno	tend like we don't w the labels		
PennState	Fall 2022		Vasant G Honavar

PennState Institute for Computational Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory	PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute
Data Label 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1
PennStete Stability and Technology Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar







- comparing different learning algorithms
- comparing different hyperparameters
- comparing different pre-processing techniques
- figuring out who has the best algorithm

- ...

PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences PennState Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory PennState Clinic	an State cal and Translational nce Institute
Which model is better?	
Model 1: 85% accuracy on a test set	
Model 2: 80% accuracy on the same test se	et
Model 1: 85.5% accuracy on a test set	
Model 2: 85.0% accuracy on the same test	set
Model 1: 0% accuracy on a test set Model 2: 100% accuracy on the same test s	set
PennState Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar



























V	PennState Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory PennState								
	Comparing models: experiment 1								
	split	model 1	model 2						
	1	87	88						
	2	85	84						
	3	83	84						
	4	80	79						
	5	88	89						
	6	85	85	Is model 2 better					
	7	83	81	than model 1?					
	8	87	86						
	9	88	89						
	10	84	85						
	average:	85	85						
۲	PennState College of Information Sciences And Technology		Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar					

(PennState Institute for Computational and bata Sciences Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory								
	Comparing models: experiment 2								
	split	model 1	model 2						
	1	87	87						
	2	92	88						
	3	74	79						
	4	75	86						
	5	82	84	Is model 2 better					
	6	79	87	than model 1?					
	7	83	81						
	8	83	92						
	9	88	81						
	10	77	85						
	avg	82	85						
Pe Sta	ennState an of Information roles And Technology		Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar					

®	PennState Institute for Computational Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory							
(Comparing models: experiment 3							
	split	model 1	model 2					
	1	84	87					
	2	83	86					
	3	78	82					
	4	80	86					
	5	82	84	ls model 2 hotter				
	6	79	87	than model 1?				
	7	83	84					
	8	83	86					
	9	85	83					
	10	83	85					
	average:	82	85					
Pe Sta	ennState ge ef Information noes And Technology		Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar				

PennState Institute for Comput and Data Sciences	PennState Clinical and Tra Science Institu					
Com	paring	models:	;			
split	model 1	model 2		split	model 1	model 2
1	84	87		1	87	87
2	83	86		2	92	88
3	78	82		3	74	79
4	80	86		4	75	86
5	82	84		5	82	84
6	79	87		6	79	87
7	83	84		7	83	81
8	83	86		8	83	92
9	85	83		9	88	81
10	83	85		10	77	85
average:	82	85		average:	82	85
What's the difference?						
nnState an of Information ces And Technology			Fall 2022			Vasant G

PennState Institute for Computational Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory PennState Clinical a Science I								latio
	split	model 1	model 2		split	model 1	model 2	
	1	84	87		1	87	87	
	2	83	86		2	92	88	
	3	78	82		3	74	79	
	4	80	86		4	75	86	
	5	82	84		5	82	84	
	6	79	87		6	79	87	
	7	83	84		7	83	81	
	8	83	86		8	83	92	
	9	85	83		9	88	81	
	10	83	85		10	77	85	
	average:	82	85		average:	82	85	
	std dev	2.3	1.7		std dev	5.9	3.9	
Pei Scene	e of Information es And Technology			Fall 2022			Vasant G Ho	onava

Even though the averages are same, the variance is different!

PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute						
Comparing models	split	model 1	model 2			
	1	80	82			
	2	84	87			
	3	89	90			
ls model 2 hetter	4	78	82			
than model 1?	5	90	91			
	6	81	83			
	7	80	80			
	8	88	89			
	9	76	77			
	10	86	88			
	average	83	85			
	std dev	4.9	4.7			
PennState Fall 2022			Vasant G Honavar			

R	PennState Institute for Computed and Data Sciences	utational Center f	or Artificial Intelliger I Intelligence Resear	nce Foundations & So rch Laboratory	Clinical and Translational Science Institute
	split	model 1	model 2	score 2 – score 1	Comparing
	1	80	82	2	models:
	2	84	87	3	
	3	89	90	1	
	4	78	82	4	
	5	90	91	1	
	6	81	83	2	
	7	80	80	0	Model 2 is never
	8	88	89	1	worse than model 1
	9	76	77	1	
	10	86	88	2	
	average	83	85		
	std dev	4.9	4.7		
🧑 🖥	PennState oliver of Information clences And Technology		Fa	II 2022	Vasant G Honavar

(PennState Institute for Computa and Data Sciences	tional Center for	Artificial Intelligence telligence Research I	Foundations & Scient Laboratory	ific Applications PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute
	split	model 1	model 2	model 2 – model 1	Comparing models
	1	80	82	2	
	2	84	87	3	
	3	89	90	1	
	4	78	82	4	
	5	90	91	1	
	6	81	83	2	
	7	80	80	0	How do we decide if
	8	88	89	1	model 2 is better
	9	76	77	1	than model 1?
	10	86	88	2	
	average:	83	85		
	std dev	4.9	4.7		
Pe Scie	ennState nge of Information nices And Technology		Fall 20	22	Vasant G Honavar


















No... the problem is that we only have one test set and we can't resample, etc. because then we'll have looked at the test data!

























PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences	Center for Artificial Intellig Artificial Intelligence Resea	ence Foundations & Scientific Ap arch Laboratory	plications of F	PennState Ilinical and Translational cience Institute
How close	e is estimated o	error to its true v	/alue?	
Choose a Measure	sample S of size Error _S (h)	n according to distr	ibution D	
Error _s (h)	is a random vari experiment)	able (outcome of a	random	
Given $Error_{S}(h)$, what can we conclude about $Error_{D}(h)$?				
More generally, given the <u>estimated performance</u> of a classifier, what can we say about its <u>actual performance</u> ?				
PennState College of Information Scalege and Technology	1	all 2022		Vasant G Honavar









PennState Institute for Compu and Data Sciences	Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scientific Applications Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory	PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute			
How cl	ose is estimated accuracy to its true valu	e?			
• The • Vari	<i>mean</i> of a Bernoulli trial with success rate $p = p$ fance = $p(1-p)$				
If <i>N</i> trials are taken from the same Bernoulli process, the observed success rate \hat{p} has the same mean p					
anc	Variance $\frac{P(1-p)}{N}$				
For large N, the distribution of \hat{p} follows a Gaussian distribution					
PennState College of Information Sciences And Technology	Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar			







PennState Institute for Computational and Data Sciences	Center for Artificial Intelligence Foundations & Scie Artificial Intelligence Research Laboratory	ntific Applications PennState Clinical and Translational Science Institute			
Confidence interval for proportions					
Error	$z_{S}(h) \pm z^{*} \sqrt{\frac{Error_{S}(h)(1 - Err)}{n}}$	or _S (h)) • Suppose error on			
- Confidence level	Critical (z) value to be used in confidence interval calculation	a test set of 100 samples is 0.1			
50%	0.67449	• What is the 90%			
75%	1.15035	confidence			
90%	1.64485	interval for the			
95%	1.95996	true error?			
97%	2.17009	$0.1 + 1.64405 \sqrt{0.09}$			
99%	2.57583	$0.1\pm1.04485\sqrt{\frac{100}{100}}$			
99.9%	3.29053	$= 0.1 \pm 0.05$			
PennState College of Information Societocies And Technology	Fall 2022	Vasant G Honavar			



